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## SOME COINS OF CARAUSIUS FROM RICHBOROUGH

By N. Shiel

The site at Richborough has produced a great number of coins, most of which have been published in the various excavation reports. 1 Lack of time and space, especially in the case of the earlier reports, has meant, however, that there is still a great deal of work to be done on these coins. This has been undertaken by Mr. Richard Reece, of the Institute of Archæology, University of London, who intends to comprehensively publish all the Richborough material; almost sixty thousand coins. I have been allowed to help, in a small way, by working on the coins of Carausius and Allectus, a small, but important group. New varieties were noted in all the reports, but many were missed and not all those published were accurately described. This is made particularly clear by the case of the two BRI coins which were discovered, neither of which had been recognized in the original published accounts. These are by far the most important 'new' discoveries but I have taken this opportunity to describe some other coins which exhibit some variety from those given in RIC. ${ }^{2}$

1. (0) IMP CAR[AVSIVS...] a or $\mathrm{c}^{3} 1922$
(R) CONCO [ ] $\frac{1}{11!\|}$ Figure seated left (Concordia), right $21.5 \mathrm{~mm} . \quad \nearrow \quad$ in left hand.
2. (O) [ ] CARAVSIVS PAV a or c 1922/3
(R) $[\ldots$ MI $] L I T V M ~$
20 mm.
(R) $[\ldots$ MI $] \operatorname{LITVM}-\frac{1}{\swarrow}$ hands.
20 mm .
3. (0) IMP C CARAVSIVS P[FI] AVG a or c
(R) [FIDES MILI]TVM $\frac{1}{\operatorname{SPC}}$ Four ensigns, cf. $R I C, \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{pt} .2$, pl. xvii,
21 mm.
(R) [EIDES MILI]TVM $\frac{1}{\text { SPC }}$ Four ensigns, cf. RIC, $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{pt}$ no. 2 , pl. xvii,
21 mm. hand extended and vertical sceptre

1 'Excavations at the Site of the Roman Fort at Richborough, Kent', I-V.
${ }^{2}$ Mattingly and Sydenham, Roman Imperial Coinage, V, pt. 2.
${ }^{3}$ These abbreviations are taken from RIC.
$\mathrm{a}=$ bust right, radiate, draped
$\mathrm{c}=$ bust right, radiate draped and cuirassed
$\mathrm{f}=$ bust right, radiate, cuirassed.
It is often impossible to distinguish these on worn coins or those not struck centrally on the flan.
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4. (0) IMP CM CARAUSIUS AUG a 1931
(R) FIDES MILITVM $\frac{1}{2} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Fides seated } 1 \text {. with two standards } \\ & \text { overstruck. }\end{aligned}$ $24 \times 22 \mathrm{~mm}$.
5. (0) IMP CARAUSIVS PF AVG a
(R) [1] N[VI]C[TVSAVG] $\frac{*^{1}}{\operatorname{RS}(\mathrm{R})}$ Sol walking 1.: right hand raised $21 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm} . \quad \swarrow$ globe in left hand. Coarse workmanship, of. RIC, 807.
6. (0) IMP CARAUSIVS PAGVI c
(R) $\mathrm{LI}[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{I}$ [AVG] $\quad$ - Pax? std. 1. with olive branch and 19 mm . vertical sceptre.
This piece, while certainly irregular, is in no way barbarous.
7. (0) Illegible.
(R) MONE[TA]AVG $\frac{1}{/ / / /} \quad P a x$ std. 1. with ${ }^{4}$ vertical sceptre. 21 mm . $\downarrow$
8. (0) IMP CARAVSIVS PAVG c
(R) PA[X AV]GVS $\frac{1}{x} \quad P a x$ std. l. with vertical sceptre. 22 mm . $\downarrow$
9. (O) IMP CC[ARAVSIV]S AVG a or c
(R) PAX A[VG] $\frac{1}{/ / / /} \quad P a x$ std. l. with transverse sceptre. $20 \times 18 \mathrm{~mm} . \downarrow$
10. (0) IMP CCARAV[ ] e
(R) $\operatorname{PAX[AVG]} \underset{20 \times 19 \mathrm{~mm} .}{l} \quad \downarrow \quad P a x$ std. 1. with vertical sceptre.
11. (0) IMP CCARAVSIVS AVG a or c.
(R) PAX AUG $1 \quad P a x$ std. l. with vertical sceptre. $24 \times 23 \mathrm{~mm} . \quad \downarrow$

These last two pieces and possibly no. 9 are exceptions to the chronology proposed for Carausius' coins by R. A. G. Carson. ${ }^{5}$ It will
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take a much more formidable body of evidence, however, to challenge that chronology.
12. (0) IMP[ ]SIVS P I ĀV e 1931
(R) PAX AV[G] $\frac{1}{l} \quad$ Pax std. l. with transverse sceptre. 23 mm .
13. (O) [ ]AVSIFS PI AV
(R) PAX AV[G] $\underset{22 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm} . ~}{\downarrow} \quad$ Pax std. l. with vertical sceptre.
14. (0) IMP CARAVSIVS P I AVG c
$(\mathrm{R})$ illegible $1 \quad P a x$ std. 1. with vertical sceptre. 22 mm . $\nearrow$
15. (0) IMP CARAVSIVS PFI AVG c
(R) PAX AVG $\frac{\text { SIP }}{\overline{M L}} \quad P a x$ std. l. with vertical sceptre. $23 \mathrm{~mm} . \quad \downarrow$
16. (0) [ ] AVSIVS PFI AVG
(R) [PAX A]VG $\frac{[\mathrm{S}] \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{C}} \quad P a x$ std. ]. with vertical sceptre. $25 \times 23 \mathrm{~mm} . \swarrow$
17. (0) IMP CARAVSIVS PF AV a 1924
(R) PAX AVG $1 \quad P a x$ std. l. with vertical sceptre. $22 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$.
18. (0) IMP CARAUSIVS PF AV c
(R) PAX AUG $1 \quad P a x$ std. l. with vertical sceptre. $21 \times 18 \mathrm{~mm}$.
19. (0) IMP CARAVSIVS PF AV $f$
(R) PAX AVG $1 \quad P a x$ std. 1. with vertical sceptre. $23 \times 22 \mathrm{~mm} . \quad \uparrow$
20. (0) IMP CARAVSIVS A a
(R) PAX AVG $1 \quad$ Pax std. l. with vertical sceptre.
$22 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$. $\quad \measuredangle$
21. (0) [ ]CAR[AVSIVS . . .] 1922/3.
(R) [PA]X AV [G] $\frac{1}{} \quad P a x$ std. l., baton in right hand, 20 mm . transverse sceptre in left hand.
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22. (0) IMPCARAUSIVS P A V a or c IV 24709
(R) PAX AVG $\frac{\mathrm{F} 0}{\mathrm{c}} \quad P a x$ std. 1. with vertical sceptre. $22 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm} . \quad \downarrow \quad$ Cf. RIC, V; II, p. 490, no. 305 and note 2 , presumably referring to this coin inaccurately described.
23. (O) IMP CARAUSIVS PF AUGG.
(R) PAX AVG $\frac{[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{O}}{\|/\|}$

Pax std. 1. with vertical sceptre. $22 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$.
24. (0) IMP[C]CARAUSIVS PF AVG f. 1924
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (R) } P A X \text { AVGGG } \\ 23 \times 2 \mathrm{Imm} & \frac{\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}}{\downarrow} \quad \text { Pax std. l. with transverse sceptre. }\end{array}$
25. (0) IMP CARAUSIVS P AVG a 1931
(R) $\underset{21 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm} .}{\text { SALVS AVG }} \frac{1}{\swarrow} \quad$ Salus std. l. with two ensigns.
26. (O) VIRTVS CARAVSI AVG. radiate bust l. with spear and shield.
(R) SALVIS]AUG $\frac{1}{20 \mathrm{~mm} .}$ Salus std. l. feeding snake rising from altar, vertical sceptre in left hand.
27. (0) IMP CARAUSIVS PF AVG a
(R) TV TEL]A AVG $\frac{l}{\swarrow} \quad$ Tutela? std. I. holding patera over $\overline{20}^{-} \times 19 \mathrm{~mm} . \quad$ altar, in left hand cornucopiae.

That this is a Tutela reverse is not certain but the type, spacing and traces of the first two letters combine to suggest as much. RIC only lists coins from the 'Rouen'7 mint with this reverse and this coin is certainly not at all in the 'Rouen' style or fabric.
28. (0) IMP CARAVSIVS PF AVG
c. 1931
(R) VICTORIA AUGVS[TI] $\frac{1}{{ }^{\otimes} \otimes}$, Victory r., wreath in 1. hand, $22 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$. $\measuredangle$ palm in right hand.
29. (0) IMP CARAVSIVS AVG a or c
(R) $\underset{23 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm} .}{\operatorname{VIR}[T V S A V G]} \frac{1}{\swarrow} \quad$ Mars std. l. with spear and shield.

[^1]${ }^{7}$ RIC, nos. 682-90.
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30. (0) IMP CARAVSIVS PF AUG a
(R) VIR[T]VS AUG $\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \quad$ Mars seated 1 . with wreath and spear, 22 mm . cuirass below seat.
31. (0) IMP CARAVSIVS PF I c
(R) No legend $\quad 14$ Salus std. 1., cornucopiae in 1. hand, $24 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm} . \quad \measuredangle \quad$ feeding serpent rising from the ground.

This is well preserved so had there ever been a reverse legend it would have been clearly visible. The reverse type is similar to that on one of the enigmatic base-metal laureate pieces of Carausius. ${ }^{8}$
32. (0) IMP[ ]AVSIVS AVG c IV 24676
(R) [ ]S AVG 1 Emperor galloping r., riding down an 21 mm . $\nearrow \quad$ opponent.

This is probably an Adventus type but could be a Virtus Aug, cf. RIC., 1036 .
33. (O) IMP CARAVSIVS PF AVG a or c. obverse Brockage 1931 $23 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm} . \swarrow$

Brockages are rare and this is not a well preserved example showing marked signs of wear and corrosion, unlike the very well preserved brockage of Allectus from South Shields. ${ }^{9}$
34. (0) IMP C DIOCLETIAN VS PF AUG 1931
(R) CO[NCORD MI $] L I T V M \frac{[S] \mid \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{MLXXI}}$ Concordia std. between two $23 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$.
35. (0) ${ }^{10}$ IMP CARAUSIVS PF AVG. c
(R) [SALVS AVG] $\frac{1}{\text { BRI }}$ Salus std. 1., altar before, vertical $23 \times 19 \mathrm{~mm} . \downarrow 3 \cdot 3 \mathrm{gm}$. sceptre behind. (Corroded reverse.)
36. (0) IMP CARAUSIVS PF AVG 1931
(R) [SALV]S AUG $\frac{1}{\text { BRI }}$ Salus std. I. feeding serpent rising $23 \times 22 \mathrm{~mm} / 4.27 \mathrm{gm}$ from altar, vertical sceptre in left $23 \times 22 \mathrm{~mm} . \swarrow 4 \cdot 27 \mathrm{gm}$. hand.

[^2]
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The first of these was discovered in a box labelled 'miscellaneous salus types'. The second, found on the surface in 1931, was incorrectly described on its packet as having the $\frac{1}{\mathrm{ML}}$ mint mark. These coins were the fourth and fifth specimens of this BRI coinage to come to light and as they both share an obverse die with the Corbridge specimen they add greatly to our knowledge of this minority group of Carausian coins.


[^0]:    ${ }^{4}$ On subsequent coins Pax always has an olive branch in her right hand unless otherwise stated.
    ${ }^{5}$ R. A. G. Carson, 'The Sequence-marks on the Coinage of Carausius and Allectus', in (Ed.) R. A. G. Carson, Mints, Dies and Currency.

[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ Richborough IV, coin no. 24709.

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ See my forthcoming note on these pieces.
    ${ }^{0} A A^{2}$, n.s., x (1884), 301 .
    ${ }^{10}$ Cf. my note in Ooin and Medal Bulletin, no. 643 (1972, no. 3), 101-2.

